

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILIN | G DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/790,409 | 03/0 | 1/2004 | Daniel Perlman | PRLMN-002XX | 1457 | |
| 207 | 7590 07/27/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | • | JRGIN, GAGN | MANAHAN, TODD E | | | |
| BOSTON, I | OFFICE SQU MA 02109 | JARE | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | | | 3732 | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | SP | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 10/790,409 | PERLMAN, DANIEL | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Todd E. Manahan | 3732 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• | | | | | | |
| ·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | ce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 01 March 2004 is/are: a | a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t | o by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | ∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | • | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | • | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | • • | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | o in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | od. | | | | | |
| Job the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certifica copies flot receive | 'M '• | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | • | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/19/04</u> . | 6) Other: | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 10 is merely rewording of lines 12-14 of base claim 1 and as such does not further claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 9, the functional recitation that "said applicator element is compliant to the nail surface" is indefinite because it is not supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to accomplish the function.

In claim 11, the functional recitation that "said applicator element and said flow valve obviate the need for a nib" is indefinite because it is not supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to accomplish the function.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6, and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Geremia-Nargi (United States Patent No. 6,244,773).

Geremia-Nargi discloses a device comprising a vessel 14 containing a liquid solvent and having an opening; an applicator element 36 which is chemically resistant and permeable to the solvent secured over the opening; and a valve assembly arranged beneath the applicator element comprising a liquid flow valve that can be opened and closed by increasing or decreasing manual pressure on the applicator element (see figure 3). The vessel has a generally elongated shape with a polygon cross-section. The applicator element is convex outward.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geremia-Nargi.

Geremia-Nargi discloses the claimed invention except for the vessel sized to hold 10-100 ml (claim 3) or the applicator element having an area of 0.5-2.0 cm² (claims 4-5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to size the vessel to hold 10-100 ml and/or make the applicator element with an area of 0.5-2.0 cm² since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art,

Art Unit: 3732

discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Regarding claims 7 and 8, Geremia-Nargi discloses the claimed invention except for the applicator element being open cell foam, fabric, non-woven fiber, aligned fiber, or porous plastic (claim 7) and made of polyolefins, polyesters, polyurethanes, polycarbonates, or nylons (claim 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the applicator of open cell foam, fabric, non-woven fiber, aligned fiber, or porous plastic and made of polyolefins, polyesters, polyurethanes, polycarbonates, or nylons, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones (United States Patent No. 3,592,202) in view of Buschemeyer (United States Patent No. 4,772,148).

Jones discloses the invention essentially as claimed except for the applicator being a porous material and the valve assembly. Buschemeyer discloses that it is known in the art to provide a liquid applicator with a porous membrane applicator element and a valve assembly in order to make the device spill proof (see col. 1, lines 20-21). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to replace the applicator element of the device of Jones with a porous membrane and valve assembly in view of Buschemeyer in order to make the device spill proof. Regarding method claims 12-17, the method of using the device to remove nail polish would be inherent in the combination of Jones and Buschemeyer.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose telephone number is 571 272- 4713.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571 273-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.E. Manahan 20 July 2005 Todd E. Manahan Primary Examiner